Covariance Matrix Recovery from One-Bit Data with Non-Zero Quantization Thresholds: Algorithm and Performance Analysis

> Yu-Hang Xiao¹ Lei Huang¹ David Ramírez^{2,3} Cheng Qian⁴ Hing Cheung So⁵

Shenzhen University¹ Universidad Carlos III de Madrid² Gregorio Marañón Health Research Institute³ IQVIA Inc.⁴ City University of Hong Kong⁵

> 49th ICASSP April 14-19, 2024

Xiao, Huang, Ramírez, Qian, So

ICASSP 2024

Background

One-bit sampling is especially well-suited for small platforms due to its reduced resource consumption and lower data volume.



However, it poses great challenges for signal processing due to the absence of amplitude information.

Xiao, Huang, Ramírez, Qian, So

The covariance structure of different types of noise



Assumptions:

A1. The unquantized signal $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times 1} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y}})$.

A2. We have N i.i.d. observations $\mathbf{x}(t) = \operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{y}(t)), t = 1, \cdots, N$.

The goal: To recover Σ_y from the observations $\mathbf{x}(t)$.

Conventional approach: Arcsine law(zero quantization thresholds):

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}} \right) \tag{1}$$

where Σ denotes covariance matrix and C denotes coherence matrix. Limitation: We can only recover C_y , but cannot recover the diagonal elements of Σ_y .

Solution: Using non-zero quantization thresholds.



Figure: One-bit non-zero threshold quantization

Constant threshold approach ¹:

With a constant threshold $(\mathbf{v}(t) = v \mathbf{1}^{M \times 1})$, reconstruction can be accomplished based on the following probabilities:

$$p_{i} = \Pr\{x_{i} = +1\} = Q\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_{i}}\right), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$p_{12} = \Pr\{x_{1} = +1, x_{2} = +1\}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c\left(x_{1} + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{12}}\right) + c_{12} + c_{12}$$
(2)

$$= \int_{\frac{v}{\sigma_1}}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{v}{\sigma_2}}^{\infty} f\left(y_1, y_2 \middle| \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}\right) dy_1 dy_2, \tag{3}$$

where $f(y_1, y_2|\rho)$ is the probability density function of bivariate Gaussian distribution with unit variances and correlation coefficient ρ , and

$$Q(a) = \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2}\right) dt.$$

It is difficult to use a single threshold to deal with all the parameters.



Figure: Mean squared error versus threshold.

Random threshold approach²: the random threshold method $(\mathbf{v}(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(v\mathbf{1}_M, \mathbf{\Sigma}_t))$ is equivalent to adding a zero-mean dithering signal to the constant sampling threshold $v\mathbf{1}_M$.

The reconstruction can be accomplished based on **modified** arcsine law^2 .



Figure: Thresholds for different quantization schemes.

²Eamaz et al, "Covariance recovery for one-bit sampled non-stationary signals with time-varying sampling thresholds," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 2022.

Xiao, Huang, Ramírez, Qian, So

Proposed scheme: v(t) is known and time-varying.

The reconstruction can be accomplished based on the following probabilities:

$$p_{i,t} = \Pr\{x_i(t) = +1\} = Q\left(\frac{v_i(t)}{\sigma_i}\right), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$p_{12,t} = \Pr\{x_1(t) = +1, x_2(t) = +1\}$$

$$= \int_{\frac{v_1(t)}{\sigma_1}}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{v_2(t)}{\sigma_2}}^{\infty} f\left(y_1, y_2 \middle| \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}\right) dy_1 dy_2,$$
(5)

General steps:

- 1. Set the time-varying, known sampling threshold.
- 2. Estimate diagonal entries by the following Newton's iteration:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{(u+1)} = \hat{\sigma}_{i}^{(u)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}_{i};\sigma_{i})}{\partial \sigma_{i}} \Big/ \left. \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}_{i};\sigma_{i})}{\partial \sigma_{i}^{2}} \right|_{\sigma_{i} = \hat{\sigma}_{i}^{(u)}}, \qquad (6)$$

3. Estimate off-diagonal entry by the following Newton's iteration:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{12}^{(u+1)} = \hat{\sigma}_{12}^{(u)} - \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}; \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \sigma_{12}} \Big/ \left. \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}; \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \sigma_{12}^2} \right|_{\sigma_{12} = \hat{\sigma}_{12}^{(u)}}.$$
 (7)

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = [\hat{\sigma}_1, \hat{\sigma}_2, \sigma_{12}]^T$ 4. Seek the joint MLE of σ_1 , σ_2 , and σ_{12} by using the gradient descent approach:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(u+1)} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(u)} + \gamma^{(u)} \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(u)}}, \tag{8}$$

where $\gamma^{(u)}$ is the learning rate at the *u*th iteration.

Usefulness of Exact Threshold Values



Figure: Mean squared error versus number of samples

Comparison of Mean Squared Errors



Figure: Mean squared error versus threshold

ICASSP 2024

Theorem

The MSE matrix of the MLE can be approximated asymptotically $(N
ightarrow \infty)$ by

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{ heta}_0).$$

Here, $F(\theta)$ denotes the Fisher information matrix (FIM) defined as:

$$\mathsf{F}(oldsymbol{ heta}) = \mathbb{E}\left[rac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X};oldsymbol{ heta})}{\partial oldsymbol{ heta}}rac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X};oldsymbol{ heta})}{\partial oldsymbol{ heta}^{ op}}
ight]$$

Furthermore, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = [\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_{12}]^T$ represents the genuine parameter vector.

Since the samples are mutually independent, we can compute the Fisher information contributed by each sample separately.

$$\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \sum_{\mathbf{x}(t) \in \{\pm 1, \pm 1\}} o_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}(t))}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}(t))}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}}} \right].$$
(9)

where $o_t(\theta)$ is the probability density function of the sample $\mathbf{x}(t)$.

Building upon Theorem, the asymptotic MSE for the individual components can be gleaned from the diagonal entries of $\mathbf{F}^{-1}(\theta_0)$.

Theoretical Mean Squared Error



Figure: Mean squared error versus number of samples

Simulations



(c) Random threshold (d) Zero threshold Figure: Comparison of estimated DOA

-0.8

0.8

0.6 0.4 10.2

-0.4

-0.6

-0.8

-0.5 0 Real part

0 0.5 Real part

(a) Time-varying threshold

ICASSP 2024

Real part

Real part

(b) Constant threshold

0.8

-0.2

-0.4

-0.6

-0.8

Summary:

In this work, we present a novel approach based on a known and time-varying threshold to recover the the covariance matrix of the unquantized signal from one-bit quantized observations, Moreover, we study the performance of the proposed method.

Advantages:

- 1. It offers higher estimation accuracy.
- 2. It demonstrates improved robustness against parameter unevenness and high correlation coefficients.